

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,237		07/19/2001	Jeffrey B. Miller	08472-720003 / MGH-1176.2	9307
26161	7,590	05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI 225 FRANK		SON PC	MARVICH, MARIA		
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1636	
				DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,237	MILLER, JEFFREY B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maria B Mar∨ich, PhD	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	<u>,52 and 54</u> is/are withdrawn fron ted.	n consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	accepted or b) objected to be lawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to a response to a restriction requirement filed 4/19/04. Claims 1-20 have been cancelled. Claims 21-54 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the amendment filed 4/19/04 is acknowledged. Furthermore, applicants select a cell comprising the stem cell active promoter of claims 27-28. Therefore, claims 29-31, 37-38, 40-49, 51-52 and 54 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected inventions. Claims 21-28, 32-36, 39, 50 and 53 are examined herein.

Information Disclosure Statement

An IDS filed 7/19/01 has been identified and the documents considered. The signed and initialed PTO Form 1449 has been mailed with this action.

Sequence Compliance

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below or on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. A statement did not accompany the submission of the substitute Paper Copy and Computer

Art Unit: 1636

Readable Form of the Sequence listing, filed 7/23/02, that the substitute sequence listing did not contain New Matter. Applicant must provide a substitute computer readable form (CRF), paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" and a statement that the CRF and "Sequence Listing" are the same and contain no New Matter.

Claim Objections

Claim 39 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form. Claim 27 already recites that the exogenous nucleic acid sequence is operably linked to a muscle stem cell-active promoter. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25-28, 32-36, 39, 50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25, 34-36 and 53 and by dependency claims 26-28, 32-33, 39 and 50 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of "cells the produce myoblasts" are unclear. While cells can be used to produce proteins, it is unclear how cells can be used to produce other cells.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1636

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 27 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants claim a genus of promoters that are muscle stem cell-active.

Applicants claim a genus of apoptosis-resistance gene.

The written description requirement for genus claims may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with known or disclosed correlations between function and structure, or by a combination of such characteristics sufficient to show that the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus.

In the instant case, applicants teach that a promoter active in a muscle stem cell can direct transcription in a muscle stem cell. Expression of a reporter gene is considered an indication that the promoter is active in muscle stem cells (see e.g. bridging paragraph page 5-6). Bcl-2 promoters are specifically disclosed as appropriate for this purpose. Neither applicant nor the prior art provide a correlation between the bcl-2 promoter and their muscle stem cell-activity. Given the large size and diverse nature of promoters, and the inability to determine which will also possess muscle stem cell activity, it is concluded that the invention must be empirically

Art Unit: 1636

determined. In an unpredictable art, the disclosure of one species would not represent to the skilled artisan a representative number of species sufficient to show applicants were in possession of claimed genus.

In the instant case, applicants do not disclose apoptosis resistance genes. While, applicants describe use of the cDNA of Bcl-2 for preparation of probes, applicants do not teach use of the bcl-2 gene or any other apoptosis resistance gene as exogenous genes in muscle stem cells. The genomic version of any recited genes is not disclosed by the specification nor does the prior art apparently disclose the entire gene. While the cDNA may be known, not all of the genes have been characterized. Because all of the components of the gene such as regulation sequences, introns, and exons must be determined empirically in order to generate the apoptosis resistance genes, applicant claims the gene without sufficient disclosure about its structure. The skilled artisan would not conclude that applicant was in possession of viral vector comprising the claimed genes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 25-27, 32-36, 50 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang et al (Growth Factors, 1993, 9(1): 57-71; see entire document) in view of Gazit et al (Molecular Endocrinology, February 1993, 7(2):189-198; see entire document).

Art Unit: 1636

Wang et al teach transfection of C3H-10T1/2 cells, a clonal cell line prepared from mouse embryo cells, with BMP-2 cDNA. BMP2 expression is indicative of the promoters operably linked to the coding sequence activity in muscle stem cells. Gazit et al teach that mesenchymal stem cells give rise to myoblasts (see e.g. abstract). Hence mesenchymal stem cell lines such as C3H-10T1/2 are muscle stem cells that lead to myoblast cells.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria B Marvich, PhD whose telephone number is (571)-272-0774. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, PhD can be reached on (571)-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maria B Marvich, PhD

Examiner

Art Unit 1636

April 29, 2004

GERRY LEFFERS/// PRIMARY EXAMINER /